arXiv:1204.0698v1 [math.CV] 3 Apr 2012

DIFFERENTIAL SUBORDINATION AND SUPERORDINATION RESULTS FOR AN OPERATOR ASSOCIATED WITH THE GENERALIZED BESSEL FUNCTIONS

ERHAN DENIZ

Abstract. We obtain many subordination and superordination results, using a new operator $B_\kappa^c f$ by means of the normalized form of the generalized Bessel functions of first kind, which is defined as

$$z\left[B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)\right]' = \kappa B_\kappa^c f(z) - (\kappa-1)B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)$$

where $b, c, p \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\kappa = p + (b+1)/2 \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^-$. These results are obtained by investigating appropriate class of admissible functions. Sandwich-type results are also obtained. Various known or new special cases of our results are also pointed out. Moreover we give a positive answer to an open problem proposed by Andra and Baricz [5].

1. Introduction, definitions and preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{U})$ be the class of functions analytic in $\mathcal{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathcal{H}[a,n]$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{U})$ consisting of functions of the form $f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + \ldots$, with $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}[0,1]$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}[1,1]$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the class of all functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+1} z^{n+1} \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic in the open disc $\mathcal{U}$. Let f and F be members of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{U})$. The function f is said to be *subordinate* to F, or F is said to be *superordinete* to f, written symbolically as

$$f \prec F \quad \text{or} \quad f(z) \prec F(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, is analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ (with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ in $\mathcal{U}$) such that $f(z) = F(w(z))$, $z \in \mathcal{U}$. In particular, if the function F is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, then we have the following equivalence:

$$f(z) \prec F(z) \ \ (z \in \mathcal{U}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad f(0) = F(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathcal{U}) \subset F(\mathcal{U}).$$

Let us consider the following second-order linear homogenous diffeerential equation (see, for details, [7]):

$$z^2\omega''(z) + bz\omega'(z) + \left[cz^2 - p^2 + (1-b)p\right]\omega(z) = 0, \quad (b, c, p \in \mathbb{C}) \tag{1.2}$$

The function $\omega_{p,b,c}(z)$, which is called the generalized Bessel function of the first kind of order p, is defined a particular solution of (1.2). Function $\omega_{p,b,c}(z)$ has the familiar representation as follows

$$\omega_{p,b,c}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-c)^n}{n!\Gamma\left(p+n+(b+1)/2\right)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+p}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{1.3}$$

Key words and phrases. Generalized Bessel functions, univalent functions, Hadamard product, subordination, superordination

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45, 30C80, 33C10.

where Γ stands for the Euler gamma function. The series (1.3) permits the study of Bessel, modified Bessel and spherical Bessel functions all together. It is worth mentioning that, in particular,

• For $b = c = 1$ in (1.3), we obtain the familiar Bessel function of the first kind of order p defined by (see [26]; see also [7])

$$J_p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!\Gamma(p+n+1)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+p}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{1.4}$$

• for $b = 1$ and $c = -1$ in (1.3), we obtain the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order p defined by (see [26]; see also [7])

$$I_p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!\Gamma(p+n+1)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+p}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{1.5}$$

• for $b = 2$ and $c = 1$ in (1.3), the function $\omega_{p,b,d}$ reduces to $\sqrt{2}j_p(z)/\sqrt{\pi}$ where j_v is the spherical Bessel function of the first kind of order p, defined by (see [7])

$$j_p(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!\Gamma(p+n+3/2)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+p} \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{1.6}$$

In [11], authors considered the function $\varphi_{p,b,d}(z)$ defined, in terms of the generalized Bessel function $\omega_{p,b,d}(z)$, by the transformation

$$\varphi_{p,b,c}(z) = 2^p\Gamma\left(p + \frac{b+1}{2}\right) z^{1-p/2}\omega_{p,b,c}(\sqrt{z}). \tag{1.7}$$

By using the well-known Pochhammer symbol (or the *shifted* factorial) $(\lambda)_\mu$ defined, for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and in terms of the Euler $\Gamma-$function, by

$$(\lambda)_\mu := \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+\mu)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (\mu = 0;\ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\diagdown\{0\}) \\ \lambda(\lambda+1)...(\lambda+n-1) & (\mu = n \in \mathbb{N};\ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}), \end{cases}$$

it being understood conventionally that $(0)_0 := 1$, we obtain the following series representation for the function $\varphi_{p,b,d}(z)$ given by (1.7):

$$\varphi_{p,b,c}(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-c)^n}{4^n(\kappa)_n} \frac{z^{n+1}}{n!}, \quad \left(\kappa := p + \frac{b+1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^-\right) \tag{1.8}$$

where $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_0^- := \{0, -1, -2, ...\}$. For convenience, we write $\varphi_{\kappa,c}(z) := \varphi_{p,b,c}(z)$.

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1.1) and $g(z)$ given by $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n+1}z^{n+1}$, the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) := z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+1}b_{n+1}z^{n+1} =: (g * f)(z), \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Note that $f * g \in \mathcal{A}$. For $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$ $(j = 1, 2, ..., q)$ and $\beta_j \in \mathbb{C}\diagdown\mathbb{Z}_0^-$ $(j = 1, 2, ..., s)$, the *generalized hypergeometric function* ${}_qF_s(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_q; \beta_1, ..., \beta_s; z)$ is defined by the following infinite series (see [18]-[22]):

$${}_qF_s(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_q; \beta_1, ..., \beta_s; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n...(\alpha_q)_n}{(\beta_1)_n...(\beta_s)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

$$(q \leqslant s+1;\ q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}).$$

Dziok-Srivastava [13] (also see, [14]) considered a linear operator

$$H(\ \alpha_1,...,\alpha_q;\beta_1,...,\beta_s):\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A},$$

defined by the Hadamard product

$$H(\ \alpha_1,...,\alpha_q;\beta_1,...,\beta_s)f(z)=z_qF_s(\ \alpha_1,...,\alpha_q;\beta_1,...,\beta_s;z)*f(z). \tag{1.9}$$

$$(q\leqslant s+1;\ q,s\in\mathbb{N}_0=\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\};\ z\in\mathcal{U})$$

If $f\in\mathcal{A}$ given by (1.1), then we have

$$H(\ \alpha_1,...,\alpha_q;\beta_1,...,\beta_s)f(z)=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\alpha_1)_n...(\alpha_q)_n}{(\beta_1)_n...(\beta_s)_n}\frac{1}{n!}a_{n+1}z^{n+1},\quad (z\in\mathcal{U}).$$

To make the notation simple, we write

$$H_q^s[\alpha_1]=H(\ \alpha_1,...,\alpha_q;\beta_1,...,\beta_s).$$

Now, by using the above idea of Dziok-Srivastava we introduce B_κ^c-operator as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} B_\kappa^c f(z) &= \varphi_{\kappa,c}(z)*f(z) \\ &= z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-c)^n a_{n+1}}{4^n\,(\kappa)_n}\frac{z^{n+1}}{n!} \end{aligned}\tag{1.10}$$

It easily verify from the definition (1.10) that

$$z\left[B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)\right]'=\kappa B_\kappa^c f(z)-(\kappa-1)B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)\tag{1.11}$$

where $\kappa=p+(b+1)/2\notin\mathbb{Z}_0^-$. In fact the function $B_\kappa^c f$ given by (1.10) is an elementary transform of the generalized hypergeometric function, i.e. it is easy to see that $B_\kappa^c f(z)=z_0F_1(\ \kappa;\frac{-c}{4}z)*f(z)$ and also $\varphi_{\kappa,c}(\frac{-4}{z}z)=z_0F_1(\ \kappa;z)$.

In special cases of B_κ^c-operator we obtain following new operators:

- Choosing $b=c=1$ in (1.10) or (1.11) we obtain the operator $\mathcal{J}_p:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}$ related with Bessel function, defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_pf(z)=\varphi_{p,1,1}(z)*f(z)=\left[2^p\Gamma\left(p+1\right)z^{1-p/2}J_p(\sqrt{z})\right]*f(z)=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n a_{n+1}}{4^n\left(p+1\right)_n}\frac{z^{n+1}}{n!}\tag{1.12}$$

and its recursive relation

$$z\left[\mathcal{J}_{p+1}f(z)\right]'=(p+1)\mathcal{J}_pf(z)-p\mathcal{J}_{p+1}f(z).$$

- Choosing $b=1$ and $c=-1$ in (1.10) or (1.11) we obtain the operator $\mathcal{I}_p:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}$ related with modified Bessel function, defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_pf(z)=\varphi_{p,1,-1}(z)=\left[2^p\Gamma\left(p+1\right)z^{1-p/2}I_p(\sqrt{z})\right]*f(z)=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{a_{n+1}}{4^n\left(p+1\right)_n}\frac{z^{n+1}}{n!}\tag{1.13}$$

and its recursive relation

$$z\left[\mathcal{I}_{p+1}f(z)\right]'=(p+1)\mathcal{I}_pf(z)-p\mathcal{I}_{p+1}f(z).$$

- Choosing $b=2$ and $c=1$ in (1.10) or (1.11) we obtain the operator $\mathcal{S}_p:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}$ related with spherical Bessel function, defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_pf(z)=\left[\pi^{-1/2}2^{p+1/2}\Gamma\left(p+3/2\right)z^{1-p/2}j_p(\sqrt{z})\right]*f(z)=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n a_{n+1}}{4^n\left(p+3/2\right)_n}\frac{z^{n+1}}{n!}\tag{1.14}$$

and its recursive relation

$$z\left[\mathcal{S}_{p+1}f(z)\right]'=(p+3/2)\mathcal{S}_pf(z)-(p+1/2)\mathcal{S}_{p+1}f(z).$$

To prove our results, we need the following definitions and theorems.

Definition 1.1. [[16], *Definition 2.2b, p.21*] *Denote by* $\mathcal{Q}$ *the class of functions* $q(z)$, *which are analytic and injective on* $\overline{\mathcal{U}}\setminus E(q)$, *where*

$$E(q)=\left\{\zeta:\zeta\in\partial\mathcal{U}\text{ and }\lim_{z\to\zeta}q(z)=\infty\right\},$$

and are such that $q'(\zeta)\neq 0$ $(\zeta\in\partial\mathcal{U}\setminus E(q))$. *Further let the subclass of* $\mathcal{Q}$ *for which* $q(0)=a$ *be denoted by* $\mathcal{Q}(a)$, $\mathcal{Q}(0)\equiv\mathcal{Q}_0$ *and* $\mathcal{Q}(1)\equiv\mathcal{Q}_1$.

Definition 1.2. [[16], *Definition 2.3a, p. 27*] *Let* Ω *be a set in* $\mathbb{C}$, $q\in\mathcal{Q}$ *and* $n\in\mathbb{N}$. *The class of admissible functions* $\Psi_n[\Omega,q]$ *consists of those functions* $\psi:\mathbb{C}^3\times\mathcal{U}\to\mathbb{C}$ *that satisfy the following admissibility condition:*

$$\psi(r,s,t;z)\notin\Omega$$

whenever

$$r=q(\zeta),\quad s=k\zeta q'(\zeta)\quad\text{and}\quad\Re\left(\frac{t}{s}+1\right)\geq k\Re\left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)}+1\right)$$

$$(z\in\mathcal{U};\ \zeta\in\partial\mathcal{U}\setminus E(q);\ k\geq n).$$

We write $\Psi_1[\Omega,q]$ *simply as* $\Psi[\Omega,q]$.

In particular when $q(z)=M\frac{Mz+a}{M+\overline{a}z}$, with $M>0$ and $|a|<M$, then $q(\mathcal{U})=\mathcal{U}_M:=\{w:|w|<M\}$, $q(0)=a$, $E(q)=\varnothing$ and $q\in\mathcal{Q}$. In this case, we set $\Psi_n[\Omega,M,a]:=\Psi_n[\Omega,q]$, and in the special case when the set $\Omega=\mathcal{U}_M$, the class is simply denoted by $\mathcal{U}_M[M,a]$.

Definition 1.3. [[17], *Definition 2, p. 817*] *Let* Ω *be a set in* $\mathbb{C}$ *and* $q\in\mathcal{H}[a,n]$ *with* $q'(z)\neq 0$. *The class of admissible functions* $\Psi_n'[\Omega,q]$ *consist of those functions*

$$\psi:\mathbb{C}^3\times\overline{\mathcal{U}}\to\mathbb{C}$$

that satisfy the following admissibility condition:

$$\psi(r,s,t;\varsigma)\in\Omega$$

whenever

$$r=q(z),\quad s=\frac{zq'(z)}{m}\quad\text{and}\quad\Re\left(\frac{t}{s}+1\right)\leq\frac{1}{m}\Re\left(\frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}+1\right)$$

$$(z\in\mathcal{U};\ \varsigma\in\partial\mathcal{U};\ m\geq n\geq 1).$$

We write $\Psi_1'[\Omega,q]$ *simply as* $\Psi'[\Omega,q]$.

For the above two classes of admissible functions, Miller and Mocanu [16], [17] proved the following results.

Lemma 1.1. [[16], *Theorem 2.3b, p. 28*] *Let* $\psi\in\Psi_n[\Omega,q]$ *with* $q(0)=a$. *If the analytic function* $\mathfrak{p}(z)=a+a_nz^n+a_{n+1}z^{n+1}+\ldots$ $(z\in\mathcal{U})$ *satisfies the following inclusion relationship:*

$$\psi\left(\mathfrak{p}(z),z\mathfrak{p}'(z),z^2\mathfrak{p}''(z);z\right)\in\Omega,$$

then

$$\mathfrak{p}(z)\prec q(z)\qquad(z\in\mathcal{U}).$$

Lemma 1.2. [[17], *Theorem 1, p. 818*] *Let* $\psi \in \Psi'_n[\Omega, q]$ *with* $q(0) = a$. *If* $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{Q}(a)$ *and* $\psi\left(\mathfrak{p}(z), z\mathfrak{p}'(z), z^2\mathfrak{p}''(z); z\right)$ *is univalent in* $\mathcal{U}$, *then*

$$\Omega \subset \left\{\psi\left(\mathfrak{p}(z), z\mathfrak{p}'(z), z^2\mathfrak{p}''(z); z\right) : z \in \mathcal{U}\right\}$$

implies that

$$\mathfrak{p}(z) \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

For further result on this transformation of the generalized Bessel function we refer to the recent papers (see [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 23, 24]), where among other things some interesting functional inequalities, integral representations, application of admissible functions, extensions of some known trigonometric inequalities, starlikeness and convexity and univalence were established. Very recently, Deniz et.al. [11] and Deniz [12] interested the univalence and convexity of some integral operators defined by normalized form of the generalized Bessel functions of the first kind given by (1.8).

In the present paper, by making use of the differential subordination and differential superordination results of Miller and Mocanu [[16], Theorem 2.3b, p. 28], we determine certain classes of admissible functions and obtain some subordination and superordination implications of analytic functions associated with the B_κ^c-operator defined by (1.10), together with several sandwichtype theorems. As an application, a positive answer is given to an open problem proposed by Andras and Baricz [5] concerning a subordination property of Bessel functions. We remark in passing that a similar problem involving Schwarzian derivatives was considered by Ali et al. [2]. Moreover, in recent years, several authors obtained many interesting results involving various linear and nonlinear operators associated with differential subordination and differential superordination [1, 2, 3, 4, 10, 15, 25].

2. Subordination results involving the B_κ^c-operator

We begin this section by proving a differential subordination theorem involving the B_κ^c-operator defined by (1.10). For this purpose, we need the following class of admissible functions.

Definition 2.1. *Let* Ω *be a set in* $\mathbb{C}$ *and* $q(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_0 \cap \mathcal{H}_0$. *The class of admissible functions* $\Phi_H[\Omega, q]$ *consists of those functions* $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{C}$ *that satisfy the admissibility condition*

$$\phi(u, v, w; z) \notin \Omega$$

whenever

$$u = q(\zeta),\ v = \frac{k\zeta q'(\zeta) + (\kappa - 1)q(\zeta)}{\kappa} \quad (\kappa \in \mathbb{C},\ \kappa \neq 0, 1)$$

and

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\kappa(\kappa-1)w - (\kappa-2)(\kappa-1)u}{v\kappa - (\kappa-1)u} - (2\kappa - 3)\right\} \geq k\Re\left\{\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} + 1\right\}$$

$$(z \in \mathcal{U};\ \zeta \in \partial\mathcal{U} \setminus E(q);\ k \geq 1)\,.$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let* $\phi \in \Phi_H[\Omega, q]$. *If* $f \in \mathcal{A}$ *satisfy the following inclusion relationship:*

$$\left\{\phi\left(B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z), B_\kappa^c f(z), B_{\kappa-1}^c f(z); z\right) : z \in \mathcal{U}\right\} \subset \Omega, \tag{2.1}$$

then

$$B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z) \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Proof. Define the analytic function $\mathfrak{p}(z)$ in $\mathcal{U}$ by

$$\mathfrak{p}(z) = B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z). \tag{2.2}$$

Then, differentiating (2.2) with respect to z and using the recursive relation (1.11), we have

$$B^c_{\kappa}f(z) = \frac{z\mathfrak{p}'(z) + (\kappa-1)\mathfrak{p}(z)}{\kappa}. \tag{2.3}$$

Further computations show that

$$B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z) = \frac{z\mathfrak{p}''(z) + 2(\kappa-1)z\mathfrak{p}'(z) + (\kappa-1)(\kappa-2)\mathfrak{p}(z)}{\kappa(\kappa-1)}. \tag{2.4}$$

We now define the transformations from $\mathbb{C}^3$ to $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$u = r, \quad v = \frac{s + (\kappa-1)r}{\kappa} \quad \text{and} \quad w = \frac{t + 2(\kappa-1)s + (\kappa-1)(\kappa-2)r}{\kappa(\kappa-1)}. \tag{2.5}$$

Let

$$\psi(r,s,t;z) = \phi(u,v,w;z) = \phi\left(r, \frac{s+(\kappa-1)r}{\kappa}, \frac{t + 2(\kappa-1)s + (\kappa-1)(\kappa-2)r}{\kappa(\kappa-1)}; z\right). \tag{2.6}$$

Using equations (2.2)-(2.4), and from (2.6), we get

$$\psi(\mathfrak{p}(z), z\mathfrak{p}'(z), z^2\mathfrak{p}''(z); z) = \phi\left(B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z), B^c_{\kappa}f(z), B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z); z\right). \tag{2.7}$$

Hence (2.1) assumes the following form:

$$\psi(\mathfrak{p}(z), z\mathfrak{p}'(z), z^2\mathfrak{p}''(z); z) \in \Omega.$$

The proof is completed if it can be shown that the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \Phi_H[\Omega, q]$ is equivalent to the admissibility condition for as given in Definition 1.2. Note that

$$\frac{t}{s} + 1 = \frac{\kappa(\kappa-1)w - (\kappa-2)(\kappa-1)u}{v\kappa - (\kappa-1)u} - (2\kappa-3),$$

and hence $\psi \in \Psi[\Omega, q]$. By Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\mathfrak{p}(z) \prec q(z) \quad \text{or} \quad B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z) \prec q(z)$$

which evidently completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega = h(\mathcal{U})$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of $\mathcal{U}$ onto Ω. In this case the class $\Phi_H[h(\mathcal{U}), q]$ is written as $\Phi_H[h, q]$.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\phi \in \Phi_H[h, q]$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy the following inclusion relationship:*

$$\phi\left(B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z), B^c_{\kappa}f(z), B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z); z\right) \prec h(z), \tag{2.8}$$

then

$$B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z) \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Our next result is an extension of Theorem 2.1 to the case where the behavior of $q(z)$ on $\partial\mathcal{U}$ is not known.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ and let $q(z)$ be univalent in $\mathcal{U}$ with $q(0) = 0$. Let $\phi \in \Phi_H[\Omega, q_\rho]$ for some $\rho \in (0,1)$, where $q_\rho(z) = q(\rho z)$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy the following inclusion relationship:*

$$\phi\left(B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z), B^c_{\kappa}f(z), B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z); z\right) \in \Omega,$$

then

$$B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z) \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Proof. We note from Theorem 2.1 that

$$B^{c}_{\kappa+1}f(z) \prec q_{\rho}(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

The result asserted by Corollary 2.3 is now deduced from the following subordination relationship:

$$q_{\rho}(z) \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

□

Theorem 2.4. *Let $h(z)$ and $q(z)$ be univalent in $\mathcal{U}$ with $q(0) = 0$ and set $q_{\rho}(z) = q(\rho z)$ and $h_{\rho}(z) = h(\rho z)$. Let $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfy one of the following conditions:*

1. *$\phi \in \Phi_H[\Omega, q_{\rho}]$ for some $\rho \in (0, 1)$, or*
2. *there exists $\rho_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\phi \in \Phi_H[h_{\rho}, q_{\rho}]$ for all $\rho \in (\rho_0, 1)$.*

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy (2.8), then

$$B^{c}_{\kappa+1}f(z) \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of [[16] , Theorem 2.3d, p. 30] and is therefore omitted. □

The next theorem yields the best dominant of the differential subordination (2.8).

Theorem 2.5. *Let $h(z)$ be univalent in $\mathcal{U}$ and $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that the differential equation*

(2.9)

$$\phi\left(q(z), \frac{zq'(z) + (\kappa-1)q(z)}{\kappa}, \frac{z^2q''(z) + 2(\kappa-1)zq'(z) + (\kappa-1)(\kappa-2)q(z)}{\kappa(\kappa-1)}; z\right) = h(z)$$

has a solution $q(z)$ with $q(0) = 0$ and satisfies one of the following conditions:

1. *$q(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ and $\phi \in \Phi_H[h, q]$*
2. *$q(z)$ is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$ and $\phi \in \Phi_H[h, q_{\rho}]$ for some $\rho \in (0, 1)$, or*
3. *$q(z)$ is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$ and there exists $\rho_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\phi \in \Phi_H[h_{\rho}, q_{\rho}]$ for all $\rho \in (\rho_0, 1)$.*

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy (2.8), then

$$B^{c}_{\kappa+1}f(z) \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

Proof. Following the same arguments as in [[16], Theorem 2.3e, p. 31], we deduce that $q(z)$ is a dominant from Theorems 2.2 and 2.4. Since $q(z)$ satisfies (2.9), it is also a solution of (2.8) and therefore $q(z)$ will be dominated by all dominants. Hence $q(z)$ is the best dominant. □

In the particular case $q(z) = Mz$; $M > 0$, and in view of Definition 2.1, the class of admissible functions $\Phi_H[\Omega, q]$, denoted by $\Phi_H[\Omega, M]$, is described below.

Definition 2.2. *Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$ and $M > 0$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_H[\Omega, M]$ consists of those functions $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$\phi\left(Me^{i\theta}, \frac{k + (\kappa-1)}{\kappa}Me^{i\theta}, \frac{L + (\kappa-1)(2k + \kappa - 2)Me^{i\theta}}{\kappa(\kappa-1)}; z\right) \notin \Omega, \tag{2.10}$$

whenever $z \in \mathcal{U}$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ $(\kappa \neq 0, 1)$ and $\Re(Le^{-i\theta}) \geq (k-1)kM$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \geq 1$.

Corollary 2.6. *Let* $\phi \in \Phi_H[\Omega, M]$. *If* $f \in \mathcal{A}$ *satisfy the following inclusion relationship:*

$$\phi\left(B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z), B^c_{\kappa}f(z), B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z); z\right) \in \Omega,$$

then

$$B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z) \prec Mz \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

In the special case $\Omega = \{w : \ |w| < M\} = q(\mathcal{U})$, the class $\Phi_H[\Omega, M]$ is simply denoted by $\Phi_H[M]$. Corollary 2.6 can now be written in the following form:

Corollary 2.7. *Let* $\phi \in \Phi_H[\Omega, M]$. *If* $f \in \mathcal{A}$ *satisfy the following inclusion relationship:*

$$\left|\phi\left(B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z), B^c_{\kappa}f(z), B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z); z\right)\right| < M,$$

then

$$\left|B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)\right| < M.$$

Corollary 2.8. *Let* $\Re(\kappa) \geq \frac{1-k}{2}$, $k \geq 1$ *and* $M > 0$. *If* $f \in \mathcal{A}$ *satisfy the following inclusion relationship:*

$$|B^c_{\kappa}f(z)| < M,$$

then

$$\left|B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)\right| < M.$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 2.7 by taking $\phi(u, v, w; z) = v = \frac{k+(\kappa-1)}{\kappa}Me^{i\theta}$. □

Taking into account the above results, we have the following particular cases.

For $f(z) = z/(1-z)$ in Corollary 2.8 we have

$$\left|\varphi_{\kappa,c}(z)\right| < M \Rightarrow \left|\varphi_{\kappa+1,c}(z)\right| < M. \tag{2.11}$$

This result is generalization of result given by Prajapata [23].

Also observe that $\varphi_{3/2,1,1}(z) = \frac{3\sin\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{z}} - 3\cos\sqrt{z}$, $\varphi_{1/2,1,1} = \sqrt{z}\sin\sqrt{z}$ and $\varphi_{-1/2,1,1} = z\cos\sqrt{z}$ where $\varphi_{p,b,c}(z)$ is given by (1.8). Thus we can obtain some trigonometric inequalities for special cases of parameters p, b and c. For example from (2.11), we have

$$\left|z\cos\sqrt{z}\right| < M \Rightarrow \left|\sqrt{z}\sin\sqrt{z}\right| < M \Rightarrow \left|\frac{\sin\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{z}} - \cos\sqrt{z}\right| < \frac{M}{3}.$$

Corollary 2.9. *Let* $0 \neq \kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ *and* $M > 0$. *If* $f \in \mathcal{A}$ *satisfy the following inclusion relationship:*

$$\left|B^c_{\kappa}f(z) - B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)\right| < \frac{M}{|\kappa|},$$

then

$$\left|B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)\right| < M.$$

Proof. Let $\phi(u, v, w; z) = v - u$ and $\Omega = h(\mathcal{U})$ where $h(z) = \frac{Mz}{\kappa}$, $M > 0$. In order to use Corollary 2.6, we need to show that $\phi \in \Phi_H[\Omega, M]$, that is, the admissibility condition (2.10) is satisfied. This follows since

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\phi\left(Me^{i\theta}, \frac{k+(\kappa-1)}{\kappa}Me^{i\theta}, \frac{L+(\kappa-1)(2k+\kappa-2)Me^{i\theta}}{\kappa(\kappa-1)}; z\right)\right| \\ = &\left|\frac{(k-1)Me^{i\theta}}{\kappa}\right| \geq \frac{M}{|\kappa|} \end{aligned}$$

whenever $z \in \mathcal{U}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \geq 1$. The required result now follows from Corollary 2.6. □

Observe that $\varphi_{3/2,1,-1}(z) = 3\cosh\sqrt{z} - \frac{3\sinh\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{z}}$, $\varphi_{1/2,1,-1} = \sqrt{z}\sinh\sqrt{z}$ and $\varphi_{-1/2,1,-1} = z\cosh\sqrt{z}$ where $\varphi_{p,b,c}(z)$ is given by (1.8). Morever if we take $f(z) = z/(1-z)$, $b = 1$, $c = -1$; $p = 1/2$ and $p = -1/2$ in Corollary 2.9, respecively, we have

$$\left|\frac{(z-3)\sinh\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{z}} - 3\cosh\sqrt{z}\right| < \frac{2M}{3} \Rightarrow \left|\frac{\sinh\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{z}} - \cosh\sqrt{z}\right| < \frac{M}{3}$$

and

$$\left|z\cosh\sqrt{z} - \sqrt{z}\sinh\sqrt{z}\right| < 2M \Rightarrow \left|\sqrt{z}\sinh\sqrt{z}\right| < M.$$

Theorem 2.5 shows that the result is sharp. The differential equation

$$zq'(z) = Mz$$

has a univalent solution $q(z) = Mz$. It follows from Theorem 2.5 that $q(z) = Mz$ is the best dominant.

Definition 2.3. *Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$ and $q(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_1$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_{H,1}[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the admissibility condition*

$$\phi(u, v, w; z) \notin \Omega$$

whenever

$$u = q(\zeta),\ \ v = \frac{1}{\kappa-1}\left(\frac{k\zeta q'(\zeta)}{q(\zeta)} + \kappa q(\zeta) - 1\right) \quad (\kappa \in \mathbb{C},\ \ \kappa \neq 0, 1, 2,\ \ q(\zeta) \neq 0),$$

and

$$\Re\left(\frac{(\kappa-1)v\left[(\kappa-1)(w-v)+1-w\right]}{(\kappa-1)v+1-\kappa u} - \left[2\kappa u - 1 - (\kappa-1)v\right]\right) \geq k\Re\left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} + 1\right)$$

$$\left(z \in \mathcal{U};\ \zeta \in \partial\mathcal{U} \setminus E(q);\ k \geq 1\right).$$

Theorem 2.10. *Let $\phi \in \Phi_{H,1}[\Omega, q]$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy the following inclusion relationship:*

$$\left\{\phi\left(\frac{B^c_\kappa f(z)}{B^c_{\kappa+1} f(z)}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa-1} f(z)}{B^c_\kappa f(z)}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa-2} f(z)}{B^c_{\kappa-1} f(z)}; z\right) : z \in \mathcal{U}\right\} \subset \Omega, \tag{2.12}$$

then

$$\frac{B^c_\kappa f(z)}{B^c_{\kappa+1} f(z)} \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Proof. Define the analytic function $\mathfrak{p}(z)$ in $\mathcal{U}$ by

$$\mathfrak{p}(z) = \frac{B^c_\kappa f(z)}{B^c_{\kappa+1} f(z)}. \tag{2.13}$$

Then, differentiating (2.13) with respect to z and using (1.11), we have

$$\frac{B^c_{\kappa-1} f(z)}{B^c_\kappa f(z)} = \frac{1}{\kappa-1}\left(\frac{z\mathfrak{p}'(z)}{\mathfrak{p}(z)} + \kappa\mathfrak{p}(z) - 1\right). \tag{2.14}$$

Further computations show that

$$\frac{B^c_{\kappa-2} f(z)}{B^c_{\kappa-1} f(z)} = \frac{1}{\kappa-2}\left(\frac{z\mathfrak{p}'(z)}{\mathfrak{p}(z)} + \kappa\mathfrak{p}(z) - 2 + \frac{\frac{z\mathfrak{p}'(z)}{\mathfrak{p}(z)} + \frac{z^2\mathfrak{p}''(z)}{\mathfrak{p}(z)} - \left(\frac{z\mathfrak{p}'(z)}{\mathfrak{p}(z)}\right)^2 + \kappa z\mathfrak{p}'(z)}{\frac{z\mathfrak{p}'(z)}{\mathfrak{p}(z)} + \kappa\mathfrak{p}(z) - 1}\right). \tag{2.15}$$

We now define the transformations from $\mathbb{C}^3$ to $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$u = r, \quad v = \frac{1}{\kappa-1}\left(\frac{s}{r} + \kappa r - 1\right) \quad \text{and} \quad w = \frac{1}{\kappa-2}\left(\frac{s}{r} + \kappa r - 2 + \frac{\frac{s}{r} + \frac{t}{r} - \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^2 + \kappa s}{\frac{s}{r} + \kappa r - 1}\right). \tag{2.16}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi(r,s,t;z) \\ = \;& \phi(u,v,w;z) \\ = \;& \phi\left(r, \frac{1}{\kappa-1}\left(\frac{s}{r} + \kappa r - 1\right), \frac{1}{\kappa-2}\left(\frac{s}{r} + \kappa r - 2 + \frac{\frac{s}{r} + \frac{t}{r} - \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^2 + \kappa s}{\frac{s}{r} + \kappa r - 1}\right); z\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Using equations (2.13)-(2.15), and from (2.17), we get

$$\psi(\mathfrak{p}(z), z\mathfrak{p}'(z), z^2\mathfrak{p}''(z); z) = \phi\left(\frac{B_\kappa^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)}, \frac{B_{\kappa-1}^c f(z)}{B_\kappa^c f(z)}, \frac{B_{\kappa-2}^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa-1}^c f(z)}; z\right). \tag{2.18}$$

Hence (2.12) implies

$$\psi(\mathfrak{p}(z), z\mathfrak{p}'(z), z^2\mathfrak{p}''(z); z) \in \Omega.$$

The proof is completed if it can be shown that the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \Phi_{H,1}[\Omega, q]$ is equivalent to the admissibility condition for ψ as given in Definition 1.2. Note that

$$\frac{t}{s} + 1 = \frac{(\kappa-1)v\left[(\kappa-1)(w-v) + 1 - w\right]}{(\kappa-1)v + 1 - \kappa u} - \left[2\kappa u - 1 - (\kappa-1)v\right],$$

and hence $\psi \in \Psi[\Omega, q]$. By Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\mathfrak{p}(z) \prec q(z) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{B_\kappa^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)} \prec q(z)$$

which evidently completes the proof of Theorem 2.10. □

In the case where $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain with $\Omega = h(\mathcal{U})$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of $\mathcal{U}$ onto Ω. In this case the class $\Phi_{H,1}[h(\mathcal{U}), q]$ is written as $\Phi_{H,1}[h, q]$.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.10.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $\phi \in \Phi_{H,1}[h, q]$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy the following inclusion relationship:*

$$\phi\left(\frac{B_\kappa^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)}, \frac{B_{\kappa-1}^c f(z)}{B_\kappa^c f(z)}, \frac{B_{\kappa-2}^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa-1}^c f(z)}; z\right) \prec h(z), \tag{2.19}$$

then

$$\frac{B_\kappa^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)} \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

In the particular case $q(z) = 1 + Mz$; $M > 0$, the class of admissible functions $\Phi_{H,1}[\Omega, q]$ is simply denoted by $\Phi_{H,1}[\Omega, M]$.

Definition 2.4. *Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$, and $M > 0$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_{H,1}[\Omega, M]$ consists of those functions $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\Bigg(1 + Me^{i\theta}, 1 + \frac{k + \kappa(1 + Me^{i\theta})}{(\kappa-1)(1 + Me^{i\theta})}Me^{i\theta}, 1 + \frac{k + \kappa(1 + Me^{i\theta})}{(\kappa-2)(1 + Me^{i\theta})}Me^{i\theta} \\ + \frac{(M + e^{-i\theta})\left[Le^{-i\theta} + (1+\kappa)kM + \kappa k M^2 e^{i\theta}\right] - k^2M^2}{(\kappa-2)(M + e^{-i\theta})\left[(\kappa-1)e^{-i\theta} + \kappa M^2 e^{i\theta} + (1 + k + 2\kappa)M\right]}\Bigg) \notin \Omega \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

whenever $z \in \mathcal{U}$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ $(\kappa \neq 0, 1, 2)$ and $\Re(Le^{-i\theta}) \geq (k-1)kM$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \geq 1$.

Corollary 2.12. *Let $\phi \in \Phi_{H,1}[\Omega, M]$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy the following inclusion relationship:*

$$\phi\left(\frac{B_{\kappa}^{c}f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^{c}f(z)}, \frac{B_{\kappa-1}^{c}f(z)}{B_{\kappa}^{c}f(z)}, \frac{B_{\kappa-2}^{c}f(z)}{B_{\kappa-1}^{c}f(z)}; z\right) \in \Omega,$$

then

$$\frac{B_{\kappa}^{c}f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^{c}f(z)} - 1 \prec Mz \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

In the special case $\Omega = \{w : \ |w-1| < M\} = q(\mathcal{U})$, the class $\Phi_{H,1}[\Omega, M]$ is simply denoted by $\Phi_{H,1}[M]$, and Corollary 2.12 takes following form:

Corollary 2.13. *Let $\phi \in \Phi_{H,1}[M]$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy the following inclusion relationship:*

$$\left|\phi\left(\frac{B_{\kappa}^{c}f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^{c}f(z)}, \frac{B_{\kappa-1}^{c}f(z)}{B_{\kappa}^{c}f(z)}, \frac{B_{\kappa-2}^{c}f(z)}{B_{\kappa-1}^{c}f(z)}; z\right) - 1\right| < M,$$

then

$$\left|\frac{B_{\kappa}^{c}f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^{c}f(z)} - 1\right| < M.$$

Corollary 2.14. *Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ $(\kappa \neq 1)$ and $M > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy the following inclusion relationship:*

$$\left|\frac{B_{\kappa-1}^{c}f(z)}{B_{\kappa}^{c}f(z)} - \frac{B_{\kappa}^{c}f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^{c}f(z)}\right| < \frac{M^2}{|\kappa-1|\,(1+M)},$$

then

$$\left|\frac{B_{\kappa}^{c}f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^{c}f(z)} - 1\right| < M.$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 2.12 by taking $\phi(u,v,w;z) = v - u$ and $\Omega = h(\mathcal{U})$ where $h(z) = \frac{M^2}{|\kappa-1|(1+M)}$, $M > 0$. In order to use Corollary 2.12, we need to show that $\phi \in \Phi_{H,1}[\Omega, M]$, that is, the admissibility condition (2.20) is satisfied. This follows since

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi\,(u,v,w;z)| &= \left|1 + \frac{k + \kappa(1+Me^{i\theta})}{(\kappa-1)(1+Me^{i\theta})}Me^{i\theta} - 1 - Me^{i\theta}\right| \\
&= \frac{M}{|\kappa-1|}\left|\frac{k+1+Me^{i\theta}}{1+Me^{i\theta}}\right| \geq \frac{M}{|\kappa-1|}\left|\frac{k-1-M}{1+M}\right| \\
&\geq \frac{M^2}{|\kappa-1|\,(1+M)}
\end{aligned}$$

whenever $z \in \mathcal{U}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ $(\kappa \neq 1)$ and $k \geq 1$. The required result now follows from Corollary 2.12. □

Definition 2.5. *Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$ and $q(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_1$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_{H,2}[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the admissibility condition*

$$\phi(u,v,w;z) \notin \Omega$$

whenever

$$u = q(\zeta), \ v = \frac{k\zeta q'(\zeta) + \kappa q(\zeta)}{\kappa} \quad (\kappa \in \mathbb{C}, \ \kappa \neq 0, 1)$$

and

$$\Re\left\{\frac{(\kappa-1)(w-u)}{v-u} + (1-2\kappa)\right\} \geq k\Re\left\{\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} + 1\right\}$$
$$(z \in \mathcal{U}; \ \zeta \in \partial\mathcal{U} \setminus E(q); \ k \geq 1)\,.$$

Theorem 2.15. *Let* $\phi \in \Phi_{H,2}[\Omega, q]$. *If* $f \in \mathcal{A}$ *satisfy the following inclusion relationship:*

$$\left\{\phi\left(\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa}f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z)}{z}; z\right) : z \in \mathcal{U}\right\} \subset \Omega, \tag{2.21}$$

then

$$\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z} \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Proof. Define the analytic function $\mathfrak{p}(z)$ in $\mathcal{U}$ by

$$\mathfrak{p}(z) = \frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z}. \tag{2.22}$$

By making use of (1.11) and (2.22), we get

$$\frac{B^c_{\kappa}f(z)}{z} = \frac{z\mathfrak{p}'(z) + \kappa\mathfrak{p}(z)}{\kappa}. \tag{2.23}$$

Further computations show that

$$\frac{B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z)}{z} = \frac{z\mathfrak{p}''(z) + 2\kappa z\mathfrak{p}'(z) + \kappa(\kappa-1)\mathfrak{p}(z)}{\kappa(\kappa-1)}. \tag{2.24}$$

Define the transformations from $\mathbb{C}^3$ to $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$u = r, \quad v = \frac{s + \kappa r}{\kappa} \quad \text{and} \quad w = \frac{t + 2\kappa s + \kappa(\kappa-1)r}{\kappa(\kappa-1)}. \tag{2.25}$$

Let

$$\psi(r, s, t; z) = \phi(u, v, w; z) = \phi\left(r, \frac{s + \kappa r}{\kappa}, \frac{t + 2\kappa s + \kappa(\kappa-1)r}{\kappa(\kappa-1)}; z\right). \tag{2.26}$$

The proof shall make use of Lemma 1.1. Using equations (2.22), (2.23) and (2.24), from (2.26) we obtain

$$\psi(\mathfrak{p}(z), z\mathfrak{p}'(z), z^2\mathfrak{p}''(z); z) = \phi\left(\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa}f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z)}{z}; z\right). \tag{2.27}$$

Hence (2.21) becomes

$$\psi(\mathfrak{p}(z), z\mathfrak{p}'(z), z^2\mathfrak{p}''(z); z) \in \Omega.$$

The proof is completed if it can be shown that the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \Phi_{H,2}[\Omega, q]$ is equivalent to the admissibility condition for as given in Definition 1.2. Note that

$$\frac{t}{s} + 1 = \frac{(\kappa-1)(w-u)}{v-u} + (1 - 2\kappa),$$

and hence $\psi \in \Psi[\Omega, q]$. By Lemma 1.1, we have $\mathfrak{p}(z) \prec q(z)$ or

$$\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z} \prec q(z).$$

□

If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega = h(\mathcal{U})$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of $\mathcal{U}$ onto Ω. In this case the class $\Phi_{H,2}[h(\mathcal{U}), q]$ is written as $\Phi_{H,2}[h, q]$.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.15.

Theorem 2.16. *Let $\phi \in \Phi_{H,2}[h,q]$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy the following inclusion relationship:*

$$\phi\left(\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa}f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z)}{z}; z\right) \prec h(z), \tag{2.28}$$

then

$$\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z} \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

In the particular case $q(z) = 1+Mz$; $M > 0$, the class of admissible functions $\Phi_{H,2}[\Omega, q]$, denoted by $\Phi_{H,2}[\Omega, M]$.

Definition 2.6. *Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$ and $M > 0$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_{H,2}[\Omega, M]$ consists of those functions $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$\phi\left(1 + Me^{i\theta}, 1 + \frac{k+\kappa}{\kappa}Me^{i\theta}, 1 + \frac{L + \kappa(2k+\kappa-1)Me^{i\theta}}{\kappa(\kappa-1)}; z\right) \notin \Omega \tag{2.29}$$

whenever $z \in \mathcal{U}$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ $(\kappa \neq 0, 1)$ and $\Re(Le^{-i\theta}) \geq (k-1)kM$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \geq 1$.

Corollary 2.17. *Let $\phi \in \Phi_{H,2}[\Omega, M]$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy the following inclusion relationship:*

$$\phi\left(\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa}f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z)}{z}; z\right) \in \Omega,$$

then

$$\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z} - 1 \prec Mz \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

In the special case $\Omega = \{w : \ |w-1| < M\} = q(\mathcal{U})$, the class $\Phi_{H,2}[\Omega, M]$ is simply denoted by $\Phi_{H,2}[M]$, and Corollary 2.17 takes following form:

Corollary 2.18. *Let $\phi \in \Phi_{H,2}[M]$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy the following inclusion relationship:*

$$\left|\phi\left(\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa}f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z)}{z}; z\right) - 1\right| < M,$$

then

$$\left|\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z} - 1\right| < M.$$

Corollary 2.19. *Let $\kappa \neq 0$ and $M > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy the following inclusion relationship:*

$$\left|\frac{B^c_{\kappa}f(z)}{z} - \frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z}\right| < \frac{M}{|\kappa|},$$

then

$$\left|\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z} - 1\right| < M.$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 2.17 by taking $\phi(u, v, w; z) = v - u$. □

Corollary 2.20. *Let $\Re(\kappa) \geq -\frac{k}{2}$, $\kappa \neq 0$ and $M > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy the following inclusion relationship:*

$$\left|\frac{B^c_{\kappa}f(z)}{z} - 1\right| < M,$$

then

$$\left|\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z} - 1\right| < M.$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 2.17 by taking $\phi(u, v, w; z) = v - 1$. □

For $f(z)=z/(1-z)$ in Corollary 2.20 we have

$$\left|\frac{\varphi_{\kappa,c}(z)}{z}-1\right|<M\Rightarrow\left|\frac{\varphi_{\kappa+1,c}(z)}{z}-1\right|<M. \tag{2.30}$$

This result is gives a partial answer to open problem of given by Andras and Baricz [5]. For example if we take $b=c=1$; $p=-1/2$ and $p=1/2$, in (2.30), the following inequalies

$$\left|\cos\sqrt{z}-1\right|<M\Rightarrow\left|\frac{\sin\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{z}}-1\right|<M\Rightarrow\left|\frac{\sin\sqrt{z}}{z\sqrt{z}}-\frac{\cos\sqrt{z}}{z}-\frac{1}{3}\right|<\frac{M}{3}.$$

are true for $M>0$.

3. Superordination and sandwich-type results of the B_κ^c−operator

In this section we obtain differential superordination for functions associated with the B_κ^c−operator defined by (1.11). For this purpose the class of admissible functions is given in the following definition.

Definition 3.1. *Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$, $q(z)\in\mathcal{H}_0$ with $zq'(z)\neq 0$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi'_H[\Omega,q]$ consists of those functions $\phi:\mathbb{C}^3\times\overline{\mathcal{U}}\to\mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the admissibility condition*

$$\phi(u,v,w;\varsigma)\notin\Omega$$

whenever

$$u=q(z),\ v=\frac{zq'(z)+m(\kappa-1)q(z)}{m\kappa},\quad (\kappa\in\mathbb{C},\ \kappa\neq 0,1)$$

and

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\kappa(\kappa-1)w-(\kappa-2)(\kappa-1)u}{v\kappa-(\kappa-1)u}-(2\kappa-3)\right\}\leq\frac{1}{m}\Re\left\{\frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}+1\right\}$$

$$(z\in\mathcal{U};\ \varsigma\in\partial\mathcal{U};\ m\geq 1).$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\phi\in\Phi'_H[\Omega,q]$. If $f\in\mathcal{A}$, $B_{\kappa+1}^c f\in\mathcal{Q}_0$ and*

$$\phi\left(B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z),B_\kappa^c f(z),B_{\kappa-1}^c f(z);z\right)$$

is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, then

$$\Omega\subset\left\{\phi\left(B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z),B_\kappa^c f(z),B_{\kappa-1}^c f(z);z\right):z\in\mathcal{U}\right\} \tag{3.1}$$

implies

$$q(z)\prec B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)\quad(z\in\mathcal{U}).$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}(z)$ be defined by (2.2) and ψ by (2.6). Since $\phi\in\Phi'_H[\Omega,q]$, (2.7) and (3.1) yield

$$\Omega\subset\left\{\psi(\mathfrak{p}(z),z\mathfrak{p}'(z),z^2\mathfrak{p}''(z);z):z\in\mathcal{U}\right\}.$$

From (2.6), we see that the admissibility condition for $\phi\in\Phi'_H[\Omega,q]$ is equivalent to the admissibility condition for ψ as given in Definition 1.3. Hence $\psi\in\Psi'[\Omega,q]$, and by Lemma 1.2, we have

$$q(z)\prec\mathfrak{p}(z)\quad\text{or}\quad q(z)\prec B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)\quad(z\in\mathcal{U})$$

which evidently completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

If $\Omega\neq\mathbb{C}$ is a simply-connected domain, then $\Omega=h(\mathcal{U})$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of $\mathcal{U}$ onto Ω. In this case, the class $\Phi'_H[h(\mathcal{U}),q]$ is written as $\Phi'_H[h,q]$.

Proceeding similarly as in the previous section, the following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $q(z) \in \mathcal{H}_0$, $h(z)$ *be analytic in* $\mathcal{U}$ *and* $\phi \in \Phi'_H[h,q]$. *If* $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $B^c_{\kappa+1}f \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ *and*

$$\phi\left(B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z), B^c_{\kappa}f(z), B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z); z\right)$$

is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, *then*

$$h(z) \prec \phi\left(B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z), B^c_{\kappa}f(z), B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z); z\right) \tag{3.2}$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 can only be used to obtain subordinants of differential superordination of the form (3.1)) or (3.2). The following theorem proves the existence of the best subordinant of (3.2) for an appropriate ϕ.

Theorem 3.3. *Let* $h(z)$ *be univalent in* $\mathcal{U}$ *and* $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \overline{\mathcal{U}} \to \mathbb{C}$. *Suppose that the differential equation*

$$\phi\left(q(z), \frac{zq'(z) + (\kappa-1)q(z)}{\kappa}, \frac{z^2q''(z) + 2(\kappa-1)zq'(z) + (\kappa-1)(\kappa-2)q(z)}{\kappa(\kappa-1)}; z\right) = h(z)$$

has a solution $q(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_0$. *If* $\phi \in \Phi'_H[h,q]$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $B^c_{\kappa+1}f \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ *and*

$$\phi\left(B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z), B^c_{\kappa}f(z), B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z); z\right)$$

is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, *then*

$$h(z) \prec \phi\left(B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z), B^c_{\kappa}f(z), B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z); z\right)$$

which implies

$$q(z) \prec B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

and $q(z)$ *is best subordinant.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.5 and is therefore we omit the details involved. ☐

Combining Theorems 2.2 and 3.2, we obtain the following sandwich-type theorem.

Corollary 3.4. *Let* $h_1(z)$ *and* $q_1(z)$ *be analytic functions in* $\mathcal{U}$, $h_2(z)$ *be a univalent function in* $\mathcal{U}$, $q_2(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ *with* $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$ *and* $\phi \in \Phi_H[h_2, q_2] \cap \Phi'_H[h_1, q_1]$. *If* $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $B^c_{\kappa+1}f \in \mathcal{Q}_0 \cap \mathcal{H}_0$ *and*

$$\phi\left(B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z), B^c_{\kappa}f(z), B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z); z\right)$$

is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, *then*

$$h_1(z) \prec \phi\left(B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z), B^c_{\kappa}f(z), B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z); z\right) \prec h_2(z)$$

which implies

$$q_1(z) \prec B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z) \prec q_2(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Definition 3.2. *Let* Ω *be a set in* $\mathbb{C}$, $q(z) \in \mathcal{H}_1$ *with* $q(z) \neq 0$, $zq'(z) \neq 0$. *The class of admissible functions* $\Phi'_{H,1}[\Omega, q]$ *consists of those functions* $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \overline{\mathcal{U}} \to \mathbb{C}$ *that satisfy the admissibility condition*

$$\phi(u, v, w; \varsigma) \in \Omega$$

whenever

$$u = q(z),\ v = \frac{1}{\kappa-1}\left(\frac{zq'(z)}{mq(z)} + \kappa q(z) - 1\right) \quad (\kappa \in \mathbb{C},\ \kappa \neq 0, 1, 2)$$

and

$$\Re\left\{\frac{(\kappa-1)v\left[(\kappa-1)(w-v) + 1 - w\right]}{(\kappa-1)v + 1 - \kappa u} - \left[2\kappa u - 1 - (\kappa-1)v\right]\right\} \leq \frac{1}{m}\Re\left\{\frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} + 1\right\}$$

$$(z \in \mathcal{U};\ \varsigma \in \partial\mathcal{U};\ m \geq 1)\,.$$

Theorem 3.5. *Let* $\phi \in \Phi'_{H,1}[\Omega, q]$. *If* $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\frac{B_\kappa^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)} \in \mathcal{Q}_1$ *and*

$$\phi\left(\frac{B_\kappa^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)}, \frac{B_{\kappa-1}^c f(z)}{B_\kappa^c f(z)}, \frac{B_{\kappa-2}^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa-1}^c f(z)}; z\right)$$

is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, *then*

$$\Omega \subset \left\{\phi\left(\frac{B_\kappa^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)}, \frac{B_{\kappa-1}^c f(z)}{B_\kappa^c f(z)}, \frac{B_{\kappa-2}^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa-1}^c f(z)}; z\right) : z \in \mathcal{U}\right\} \tag{3.3}$$

which implies

$$q(z) \prec \frac{B_\kappa^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}(z)$ be defined by (2.13) and ψ by (2.17). Since $\phi \in \Phi'_{H,1}[\Omega, q]$, it follows from (2.18) and (3.3) that

$$\Omega \subset \left\{\psi(\mathfrak{p}(z), z\mathfrak{p}'(z), z^2\mathfrak{p}''(z); z) : z \in \mathcal{U}\right\}.$$

From (2.17), we see that the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \Phi'_{H,1}[\Omega, q]$ is equivalent to the admissibility condition for ψ as given in Definition 1.3. Hence $\psi \in \Psi'[\Omega, q]$, and by Lemma 1.2, we have

$$q(z) \prec \mathfrak{p}(z) \quad \text{or} \quad q(z) \prec \frac{B_\kappa^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

which evidently completes the proof of Theorem 3.5. □

If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply-connected domain, then $\Omega = h(\mathcal{U})$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of $\mathcal{U}$ onto Ω. In this case, the class $\Phi'_{H,1}[h(\mathcal{U}), q]$ is written as $\Phi'_{H,1}[h, q]$. Proceeding similarly in the previous section, the following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 3.6. *Let* $q(z) \in \mathcal{H}_1$, $h(z)$ *be analytic in* $\mathcal{U}$ *and* $\phi \in \Phi'_{H,1}[h, q]$. *If* $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\frac{B_\kappa^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)} \in \mathcal{Q}_1$ *and*

$$\phi\left(\frac{B_\kappa^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)}, \frac{B_{\kappa-1}^c f(z)}{B_\kappa^c f(z)}, \frac{B_{\kappa-2}^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa-1}^c f(z)}; z\right)$$

is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, *then*

$$h(z) \prec \phi\left(\frac{B_\kappa^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)}, \frac{B_{\kappa-1}^c f(z)}{B_\kappa^c f(z)}, \frac{B_{\kappa-2}^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa-1}^c f(z)}; z\right) \tag{3.4}$$

which implies

$$q(z) \prec \frac{B_\kappa^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Combining Theorems 2.11 and 3.6, we obtain the following sandwich-type theorem.

Corollary 3.7. *Let* $h_1(z)$ *and* $q_1(z)$ *be analytic functions in* $\mathcal{U}$, $h_2(z)$ *be a univalent function in* $\mathcal{U}$, $q_2(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_1$ *with* $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$ *and* $\phi \in \Phi_{H,1}[h_2, q_2] \cap \Phi'_{H,1}[h_1, q_1]$. *If* $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\frac{B_\kappa^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)} \in \mathcal{Q}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_1$ *and*

$$\phi\left(\frac{B_\kappa^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa+1}^c f(z)}, \frac{B_{\kappa-1}^c f(z)}{B_\kappa^c f(z)}, \frac{B_{\kappa-2}^c f(z)}{B_{\kappa-1}^c f(z)}; z\right)$$

is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, then

$$h_1(z) \prec \phi\left(\frac{B^c_\kappa f(z)}{B^c_{\kappa+1} f(z)}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa-1} f(z)}{B^c_\kappa f(z)}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa-2} f(z)}{B^c_{\kappa-1} f(z)}; z\right) \prec h_2(z)$$

which implies

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{B^c_\kappa f(z)}{B^c_{\kappa+1} f(z)} \prec q_2(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Definition 3.3. *Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$, $q(z) \in \mathcal{H}_1$ with $q(z) \neq 0$, $zq'(z) \neq 0$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi'_{H,2}[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \overline{\mathcal{U}} \to \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the admissibility condition*

$$\phi(u, v, w; \varsigma) \in \Omega$$

whenever

$$u = q(z), \ v = \frac{\zeta q'(\zeta) + \kappa m q(\zeta)}{\kappa m} \quad (\kappa \in \mathbb{C}, \ \kappa \neq 0, 1)$$

and

$$\Re\left\{\frac{(\kappa-1)(w-u)}{v-u} + (1-2\kappa)\right\} \leq \frac{1}{m}\Re\left\{\frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} + 1\right\}$$

$$(z \in \mathcal{U}; \ \varsigma \in \partial\mathcal{U}; \ m \geq 1).$$

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\phi \in \Phi'_{H,2}[\Omega, q]$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1} f(z)}{z} \in \mathcal{Q}_1$ and*

$$\phi\left(\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1} f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_\kappa f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa-1} f(z)}{z}; z\right)$$

is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, then

$$\Omega \subset \left\{\phi\left(\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1} f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_\kappa f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa-1} f(z)}{z}; z\right) : z \in \mathcal{U}\right\} \tag{3.5}$$

which implies

$$q(z) \prec \frac{B^c_{\kappa+1} f(z)}{z} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Proof. From (2.27) and (3.5) that

$$\Omega \subset \left\{\psi(\mathfrak{p}(z), z\mathfrak{p}'(z), z^2\mathfrak{p}''(z); z) : z \in \mathcal{U}\right\}.$$

From (2.25), we see that the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \Phi'_{H,2}[\Omega, q]$ is equivalent to the admissibility condition for ψ as given in Definition 1.3. Hence $\psi \in \Psi'[\Omega, q]$, and by Lemma 1.2, we have

$$q(z) \prec \mathfrak{p}(z) \quad \text{or} \quad q(z) \prec \frac{B^c_{\kappa+1} f(z)}{z} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

□

If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply-connected domain, then $\Omega = h(\mathcal{U})$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of $\mathcal{U}$ onto Ω. In this case, the class $\Phi'_{H,2}[h(\mathcal{U}), q]$ is written as $\Phi'_{H,2}[h, q]$. Proceeding similarly in the previous section, the following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.8.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $q(z) \in \mathcal{H}_1$, $h(z)$ be analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ and $\phi \in \Phi'_{H,2}[h, q]$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1} f(z)}{z} \in \mathcal{Q}_1$ and*

$$\phi\left(\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1} f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_\kappa f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa-1} f(z)}{z}; z\right)$$

is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, *then*

$$h(z) \prec \phi\left(\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa}f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z)}{z}; z\right) \tag{3.6}$$

which implies

$$q(z) \prec \frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Combining Theorems 2.16 and 3.9, we obtain the following sandwich-type theorem.

Corollary 3.10. *Let* $h_1(z)$ *and* $q_1(z)$ *be analytic functions in* $\mathcal{U}$, $h_2(z)$ *be a univalent function in* $\mathcal{U}$, $q_2(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_1$ *with* $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$ *and* $\phi \in \Phi_{H,2}[h_2, q_2] \cap \Phi'_{H,2}[h_1, q_1]$. *If* $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z} \in \mathcal{Q}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_1$ *and*

$$\phi\left(\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa}f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z)}{z}; z\right)$$

is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, *then*

$$h_1(z) \prec \phi\left(\frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa}f(z)}{z}, \frac{B^c_{\kappa-1}f(z)}{z}; z\right) \prec h_2(z)$$

which implies

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{B^c_{\kappa+1}f(z)}{z} \prec q_2(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Remark 3.11. *For special cases all of above results, we can obtain the results for the operators* $\mathcal{J}_pf(z)$, $\mathcal{I}_pf(z)$ *and* $\mathcal{S}_pf(z)$, *which are defined by (1.12), (1.13) and (1.14), respectively.*

References

[1] R. Aghalary, R. M. Ali, S.B. Joshi and V. Ravichandran, Inequalities for analytic functions defined by certain linear operator, Internat. J. Math. Sci., 4(2) (2005), 267–274.

[2] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, Subordination and superordinationon Schwarzian derivatives, J. Inequal. Appl., 2008, Art.ID 12328,18 pp.

[3] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, Differential subordination and superordination of analytic functions defined by the Dziok-Srivastava operator, J. Franklin Inst., 347(9) (2010), 1762-1781.

[4] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, Differential subordination and superordination of analytic functions defined by the multiplier transformation, Math. Inequal. Appl., 12(1) (2009), 123–139.

[5] S. Andras and A. Baricz, Monotonicity property of generalized and normalized Bessel functions of complex order, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ., 54(7) (2009), 689-696.

[6] A. Baricz, Geometric properties of generalized Bessel functions, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 73 (2008), 155-178.

[7] A. Baricz, Generalized Bessel functions of the first kind, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1994, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2010.

[8] A. Baricz, Applications of the admissible functions method for some differential equations, Pure Appl. Math., 13(4) (2002), 433-400.

[9] A. Baricz and S. Ponnusamy, Starlikeness and covexity of generalized Bessel function, Integral Transform Spec. Funct., 21(9) (2010), 641-651.

[10] T. Bulboaca, Differential subordinates and superordinations: New Results, House of Science Book Publ, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 2005.

[11] E. Deniz, H. Orhan and H. M. Srivastava, Some sufficient conditions for univalence of certain families of integral operators involving generalized Bessel functions, Taiwansese J. Math., 15(2) (2011), 883-917.

[12] E. Deniz, Convexity of integral operators involving generalized Bessel functions, accepted in Integral Transform Spec. Funct.

[13] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Appl. Math. Comput., 103(1) (1999), 1-13.
[14] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Integral Transform Spec. Funct., 14(1) (2003), 7-18.
[15] Y.C.Kim and H. M. Srivastava, Inequalities involving certain families of integral and convolution operators, Math. Inequal. Appl., 7(2) (2004), 227–234.
[16] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations, Monographs and textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 225, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY,USA, 2000.
[17] S. S Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Subordinates of differential superordinations, Complex Var. Theory Appl., 48(10) (2003), 815-826.
[18] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Univalence of Gaussian and confluent hypergeometric functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 110(2) (1990), 333-342.
[19] S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava, Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions, Canad. J. Math., 39(5) (1987), 1057–1077.
[20] S. Ponnusamy and F. Ronning, Geometric properties for convolutions of hypergeometric functions and functions with the derivative in a halfplane, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct., 8 (1999), 121-138.
[21] S. Ponnusamy and M. Vuorinen, Univalence and convexity properties for confluent hypergeometric functions, Complex Var. Theory Appl., 36(1) (1998), 73-97.
[22] S. Ponnusamy and M. Vuorinen, Univalence and convexity properties for Gaussian hypergeometric functions, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 31(1) (2001), 327-353.
[23] J. K. Prajapata, Certain Geometric properties of normalized Bessel Functions. Appl. Math. Lett., (24) (2011), 2133-2139.
[24] V. Selinger, Geometric properties of normalized Bessel functions, Pure Math. Appl., 6 (1995), 273-277.
[25] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Eds., Current topics in Analytic Function Theory, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, USA, 1992.
[26] G. N. Watson, A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London and New York, 1944.

Erhan Deniz, *Department of Mathematics*, *Faculty of Science and Letters, Kafkas University*, *Kars*, *Turkey*
E-mail address: `edeniz@atauni.edu.tr`